1.4 — Preferences and Utility ECON 306 • Microeconomic Analysis • Fall 2020 Ryan Safner Assistant Professor of Economics ✓ safner@hood.edu ○ ryansafner/microF20 ⓒ microF20.classes.ryansafner.com



Outline

Preferences

Indifference Curves

Marginal Rate of Substitution

<u>Utility</u>

<u>Marginal Utility</u>

MRS and Preferences



Consumer's Objectives

- What do consumers want? What do they *maximize*?
- Avoid being normative & make as few assumptions as possible
- We'll assume people maximize **preferences**
 - WTF does that mean?







Preferences

• Which bundles of (*x*, *y*) are **preferred** over others?

Example:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$$
 or $b = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$





• We will allow **three possible answers**:



• We will allow **three possible answers**:

1. a > b: Strictly prefer a over b





• We will allow **three possible answers**:

1. a > b: Strictly prefer a over b

2. $a \prec b$: Strictly prefer b over a





• We will allow **three possible answers**:

- 1. a > b: Strictly prefer a over b
- 2. $a \prec b$: Strictly prefer b over a
- 3. $a \sim b$: Indifferent between a and b





• We will allow three possible answers:

- **1**. a > b: Strictly prefer a over b
- 2. $a \prec b$: Strictly prefer b over a
- 3. $a \sim b$: Indifferent between a and b

• *Preferences* are a list of all such comparisons between all bundles

See appendix in <u>today's class page</u> for more.







Indifference Curves

Mapping Preferences Graphically I

- For each bundle, we now have 3 pieces of information:
 - \circ amount of x
 - $\circ~\mbox{amount}~\mbox{of}~y$
 - preference compared to other bundles
- How to represent this information graphically?





Mapping Preferences Graphically II

- Cartographers have the answer for us
- On a map, contour lines link areas of equal height
- We will use "indifference curves" to link bundles of equal preference



Mapping Preferences Graphically III



3-D "Mount Utility"

2-D Indifference Curve Contours



Example: Suppose you are hunting for an apartment. You value *both* the size of the apartment and the number of friends that live nearby.



Example: Suppose you are hunting for an apartment. You value *both* the size of the apartment and the number of friends that live nearby.

• Apt. A has 1 friend nearby and is 1,200 ft^2



Example: Suppose you are hunting for an apartment. You value *both* the size of the apartment and the number of friends that live nearby.

- Apt. A has 1 friend nearby and is 1,200 ft^2
 - Apartments that are larger and/or have more friends > A





Example: Suppose you are hunting for an apartment. You value *both* the size of the apartment and the number of friends that live nearby.

- Apt. A has 1 friend nearby and is 1,200 ft^2
 - Apartments that are larger and/or have more friends > A
 - Apartments that are smaller and/or have fewer friends ≺ A





Example:

- Apt. A has 1 friend nearby and is 1,200 ft^2
- Apt. *B* has *more* friends but $lessft^2$



Example:

- Apt. A has 1 friend nearby and is 1,200 ft^2
- Apt. *B* has *more* friends but $lessft^2$
- Apt. C has still more friends but $less ft^2$





Example:

- Apt. A has 1 friend nearby and is 1,200 ft^2
- Apt. *B* has *more* friends but $lessft^2$
- Apt. *C* has *still more* friends but $lessft^2$
- If A ~ B ~ C, on same indifference curve





 Indifferent between all apartments on the same curve



- Indifferent between all apartments on the same curve
- Apts **above** curve are **preferred over** apts on curve
 - $\circ \ D \succ A \sim B \sim C$
 - On a higher curve





- Indifferent between all apartments on the same curve
- Apts **above** curve are **preferred over** apts on curve
 - $\circ D \succ A \sim B \sim C$
 - On a higher curve
- Apts **below** curve are **less preferred** than apts on curve
 - $\circ \ E \prec A \sim B \sim C$
 - On a **lower curve**





Curves Never Cross!

Indifference curves can never cross:
 preferences are transitive
 o If I prefer A ≻ B, and B ≻ C, I must prefer A ≻ C





Curves Never Cross!

- Indifference curves can never cross: preferences are transitive
 - If I prefer A > B, and B > C, I must prefer A > C
- Suppose two curves crossed:
 - $\circ A \sim B$
 - *B* ~ *C*
 - But C > B!
 - Preferences are not transitive!







Marginal Rate of Substitution

Marginal Rate of Substitution I

• If I take away one friend nearby, how many more ft^2 would you need to keep you **indifferent**?



Marginal Rate of Substitution I

- If I take away one friend nearby, how many more ft^2 would you need to keep you **indifferent**?
- Marginal Rate of Substitution (MRS): rate at which you trade off one good for the other and remain *indifferent*
- Think of this as your **opportunity cost**: # of units of *y* you need to give up to acquire 1 more *x*





MRS vs. Budget Constraint Slope

- Budget constraint (slope) measured the market's tradeoff between x and y based on market prices
- **MRS** measures your **personal** evaluation of *x* vs. *y* based on your preferences
- Foreshadowing: what if they are *different*? Are you truly maximizing your preferences?



Marginal Rate of Substitution II

• MRS is the slope of the indifference curve

$$MRS_{x,y} = -\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} = \frac{rise}{run}$$

- Amount of y given up for 1 more x
- Note: slope (MRS) changes along the curve!







Utility

So Where are the Numbers?

- Long ago (1890s), utility considered a real, measurable, cardinal scale[†]
- Utility thought to be lurking in people's brains
 - Could be understood from first principles: calories, water, warmth, etc
- Obvious problems

[†] "Neuroeconomics" & cognitive scientists are re-attempting a scientific approach to measure utility





Utility Functions?

- 20th century innovation: **preferences** as the objects of maximization
- We can plausibly *measure* preferences via implications of peoples' actions!
- Principle of Revealed Preference: if x and y are both feasible, and if x is chosen over y, then the person must (weakly) prefer $x \geq y$
- Flawless? Of course not. But extremely useful!





Utility Functions! I

- So how can we build a function to "maximize preferences"?
- Construct a utility function u(·)[†] that represents preference relations
 (≻, ≺, ~)
- Assign utility numbers to bundles, such that, for any bundles *a* and *b*:

 $a \succ b \iff u(a) > u(b)$



[†] The \cdot is a placeholder for whatever goods we are considering (e.g. x, y, burritos, lattes, etc)



Utility Functions! II

- We can model "as if" the consumer is maximizing utility/preferences by maximizing the utility function:
- "Maximizing preferences": choosing a such that $a \succ b$ for all available b
- "Maximizing utility": choosing a such that u(a) > u(b) for all available b
- Identical if they contain the same information





Utility Functions, Pural I

• Imagine three alternative bundles of (*x*, *y*):

$$a = (1, 2)$$

 $b = (2, 2)$
 $c = (4, 3)$

• Create a utility function $u(\cdot)$ that assigns each bundle a utility level of

$$u(\cdot)$$
$$u(a) = 1$$
$$u(b) = 2$$
$$u(c) = 3$$

Utility Functions, Pural I

• Imagine three alternative bundles of (*x*, *y*):

$$a = (1, 2)$$

 $b = (2, 2)$
 $c = (4, 3)$

• Create a utility function $u(\cdot)$ that assigns each bundle a utility level of

$$u(\cdot)$$
$$u(a) = 1$$
$$u(b) = 2$$
$$u(c) = 3$$

• Does it mean that bundle *c* is 3 times the utility of *a*?

Utility Functions, Pural II

• Imagine three alternative bundles of (*x*, *y*):

$$a = (1, 2)$$

 $b = (2, 2)$
 $c = (4, 3)$

Now consider u(·) and a second utility function v(·):

$$u(\cdot)$$
 $v(\cdot)$
 $u(a) = 1$
 $v(a) = 3$
 $u(b) = 2$
 $v(b) = 5$
 $u(c) = 3$
 $v(c) = 7$



Utility Functions, Pural III

- Utility numbers have an **ordinal** meaning only, **not cardinal**
 - Only the ordering c > b > a
 matters!
- Both are valid:[†]

• u(c) > u(b) > u(a)• v(c) > v(b) > v(a)



[†] See the Mathematical Appendix in <u>Today's Class Page</u> for why.

Utility Functions and Indifference Curves I

- Two tools to represent preferences: indifference curves and utility functions
- Indifference curve: all equally preferred bundles ⇐⇒ same utility level
- Each indifference curve represents one level (or contour) of utility surface (function)





Utility Functions and Indifference Curves II

8

6

•4

2

3-D Utility Function: $u(x, y) = \sqrt{xy}$

2-D Indifference Curve Contours: $y = \frac{u^2}{x}$





Marginal Utility

- Recall: marginal rate of substitution
 MRS_{x,y} is slope of the indifference
 curve
 - \circ Amount of y given up for 1 more x
- How to calculate MRS?
 - Recall it changes (not a straight line)!
 - We can calculate it using something from the **utility function**





• Marginal utility: change in utility from a marginal increase in consumption



• Marginal utility: change in utility from a marginal increase in consumption

Marginal utility of *x*:
$$MU_x = \frac{\Delta u(x,y)}{\Delta x}$$



• Marginal utility: change in utility from a marginal increase in consumption

Marginal utility of *x*: $MU_x = \frac{\Delta u(x,y)}{\Delta x}$

Marginal utility of *y*:
$$MU_y = \frac{\Delta u(x,y)}{\Delta y}$$





- Marginal utility: change in utility from a marginal increase in consumption
- Math (calculus): "*marginal*" means "*derivative with respect to*"
 - I will always derive marginal utility functions for you





MRS and Marginal Utility: Example

Example: For an example utility function

$$u(x, y) = x^2 + y^3$$

- Marginal utility of x: $MU_x = 2x$ Marginal utility of y: $MU_y = 3y^2$



MRS Equation and Marginal Utility

• Relationship between *MU* and *MRS*:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}}_{MRS} = -\frac{MU_x}{MU_y}$$

• See proof in <u>today's class notes</u>





MRS and Preferences

MRS and Preferences: Goods, Bads, Neutrals

- More precise ways to classify objects:
- A **good** enters utility function positively
 - \circ \uparrow good \implies \uparrow utility
 - Willing to pay (give up other goods) to acquire more (monotonic)



MRS and Preferences: Goods, Bads, Neutrals

- More precise ways to classify objects:
- A good enters utility function positively
 - \circ \uparrow good \implies \uparrow utility
 - Willing to pay (give up other goods) to acquire more (monotonic)
- A **bad** enters utility function negatively
 - $\circ \uparrow \mathsf{good} \implies \downarrow \mathsf{utility}$
 - Willing to pay (give up other goods) to get rid of



MRS and Preferences: Goods, Bads, Neutrals

- More precise ways to classify objects:
- A neutral does not enter utility function at all
 - $\circ \uparrow, \downarrow$ has no effect on utility



MRS and Preferences: Substitutes

Example: Consider 1-Liter bottles of coke and 2-Liter bottles of coke

- Always willing to substitute between Two 1-L bottles for One 2-L bottle
- Perfect substitutes: goods that can be substituted at same fixed rate and yield same utility
- $MRS_{1L,2L} = -0.5$ (a constant!)



MRS and Preferences: Complements

Example: Consider hot dogs and hot dog buns

- Always consume together in fixed proportions (in this case, 1 for 1)
- Perfect complements: goods that can be consumed together in same fixed proportion and yield same utility
- $MRS_{H,B} = ?$



Cobb-Douglas Utility Functions

• A very common functional form in economics is **Cobb-Douglas**

$$u(x, y) = x^a y^b$$

- Where a, b > 0 (and very often a + b = 1)
- Extremely useful, you will see it often!
 - Strictly convex and monotonic indifference curves
 - $\circ~$ Other nice properties (we'll see later)
 - $\circ~$ See the appendix in $\underline{today's~class}$







Example: Suppose you can consume apples (a) and broccoli (b), and earn utility according to:

$$u(a,b) = 2ab$$

Where your marginal utilities are:

$$MU_a = 2b$$
$$MU_b = 2a$$

1. Put a on the horizontal axis and b on the vertical axis. Write an equation for $MRS_{a,b}$.

2. Would bundles of (1, 4) and (2, 2) be on the same indifference curve?